

Earth matter effect on atmospheric neutrino oscillation in (3+3) model

Md Mushfiqur Rahman

*Department of Physics,
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL 33431, USA*

E-mail: mdmushfiqurr2013@fau.edu

ABSTRACT: In a recent combined analysis of short baseline neutrino oscillation data by Conrad et al it is shown that (3+3) neutrino model, defined by three active and three sterile neutrinos, results in an overall goodness of 67% and a compatibility of 90% among all data sets - to be compared to the compatibility of 0.043% and 13% for a (3+1) and a (3+2) model, respectively. Aside from the fact that (3+3) model still finds inconsistencies with MiniBooNE appearance data sets, its high quality overall compatibility and goodness of fit led us to study the atmospheric neutrinos in this model which travel distances of thousands of kilometers through earth. We show that in this mixing scheme matter resonance effect inside earth enhances the small vacuum oscillations into near-maximal transitions and at high energies these maximal transitions occur in the TeV range, whereas at low energies those can occur in the few GeV region. We also calculate the zenith angle distributions of ν_{μ}^{CC} (charged current) events in the 10 - 100 GeV energy range in DeepCore sub-array of the IceCube Neutrino Observatory and comment on the possibility of probing active neutrino mass hierarchy and six neutrino scenario.

KEYWORDS: solar and atmospheric neutrinos, high energy neutrinos

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Framework of (3+3) neutrino mixing scheme	2
3	Numerical Results	7
4	Event Rates in DeepCore	10
5	Summary and Conclusion	11

1 Introduction

In recent years, we have seen a stupendous progress in neutrino physics which has revolutionized our understanding of particle physics. The direct evidence of the existence of neutrino masses was found for the first time in 1998, in the shape of neutrino oscillations from the observation of atmospheric neutrinos by the Super-kamiokande detector[1]. Later the results of solar, atmospheric and long - baseline neutrino oscillation experiments set the foundation of three neutrino mixing paradigm, in which the three active neutrinos ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ are in superposition of three massive neutrinos ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 with masses m_1, m_2, m_3 respectively. The combined analysis of Super-Kamiokande and KamLAND results found the solar mass squared difference, $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5} eV^2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.31 \pm 0.01$ [2]. Recently, MINOS collaboration reported the atmospheric mass squared difference, $|\Delta m_{31}^2| = 2.32_{-0.08}^{+0.12} \times 10^{-3} eV^2$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$ [3]. The other mixing angle θ_{13} is currently a high-priority topic in neutrino physics. At present reactor neutrino experiments Daya Bay, Double Chooz, RENO and accelerator experiments NOA and T2K are searching for neutrino oscillations induced by this angle [4–8]. According to Recent analyses the best-fit point is found in the range $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.01 - 0.02$ [9–12].

Three mixing paradigm was challenged by the LSND results [13] and recently confirmed by MiniBooNE running in antineutrino mode [14], which indicates the existence of one or more mass squared differences, $\Delta m_i^2 \sim eV^2$ much larger than solar and atmospheric mass squared differences. Hence we need one or more new neutrino flavors to explain this result. As long as there are only three light neutrinos (active neutrinos) which couples to Z-boson, the extra flavors must be sterile to weak interaction [15]. (2+2) and (3+1) neutrino mass schemes are the most economical way to go beyond three mixing framework by adding just one sterile neutrino [16]. But (2+2) model was ruled out by atmospheric neutrino data [17]. The (3+1) neutrino scheme has also been strongly disfavored because of very low compatibility (0.043%) among all individual short baseline data sets [18]. Adding extra sterile neutrinos dramatically increases the compatibility which is 13% for (3+2) model

and 90% for (3+3) model, except the fact that (3+3) fit still finds inconsistencies with the MiniBooNE appearance data sets [18].

Atmospheric neutrinos are created by the bombardment of high energy cosmic rays in the atmosphere, where positively(negatively) charged pions are created and decay in $\nu_e (\bar{\nu}_e)$ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu (\nu_\mu)$. The flavor ratio between $\nu_\mu/\bar{\nu}_\mu$ and $\nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e$ atmospheric flux increases as their energy increases so that atmospheric neutrino [19]. When atmospheric neutrinos travel through matter before they reach the detectors and matter effect can produce drastic changes in the active-sterile oscillation probability. Matter effect on atmospheric neutrinos in (3+1) and (3+2) models are studied in the last few years [21–23]. In this paper we study earth matter effect on atmospheric neutrino oscillation in (3+3) model and show that near resonant matter effect occurs at both TeV and GeV range mostly driven by by large sterile mass squared differences and Δm_{31}^2 respectively. Using (3+3) model we also show that DeepCore can probe the active neutrino mass hierarchy in principle and has enough sensitivity to detect sterile neutrinos in the 10 - 100 GeV which will improve the sensitivity to detect sterile neutrinos combinedly with high energy icecube data.

We present the framework of (3+3) mixing scheme and its parameterization in section 2. In section 3 we present our numerical results and discuss the resonant enhancement of neutrino oscillation in (3+3) model. In section 4 we calculate ν_μ^{cc} event rate in DeepCore produced by atmospheric neutrinos. We study the zenith and energy distribution of these ν_μ^{cc} events. In section 5 we summarize the results and important issues.

2 Framework of (3+3) neutrino mixing scheme

In this section we will focus on (3+3) mixing scheme. Discussions about other schemes like standard three, (3+1), (3+2) neutrino models can be found in refs.[20–22]. In presence of matter the evolution equation for neutrinos in the flavor basis are [24–26] :

$$i \frac{d}{dr} \nu_f = \left[U \frac{M^2}{2E_\nu} U^T + A \right] \nu_f \quad (2.1)$$

where $\nu_f = (\nu_e \nu_\mu \nu_\tau \nu_{s1} \nu_{s2} \nu_{s3})^T$ and E_ν is the neutrino energy. We have considered $\hbar = c = 1$. The diagonalized neutrino mass squared matrix is

$$M^2 = \text{Diag} (0, \Delta m_{21}^2, \Delta m_{31}^2, \Delta m_{41}^2, \Delta m_{51}^2, \Delta m_{61}^2) \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$, for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. The 6×6 mixing matrix U can be chosen as

$$U = R_{12} R_{13} R_{14} R_{15} R_{16} R_{23} R_{24} R_{25} R_{26} R_{34} R_{35} R_{36} R_{45} R_{46} R_{56} \quad (2.3)$$

where R_{ij} are rotation matrices in the subspace (i, j) with θ_{ij} . We have ignored the complex phases, as they don't play any important role in our study. The matter potential matrix is

$$A = \text{Diag} (A_{cc}, 0, 0, A_{nc}, A_{nc}, A_{nc}) \quad (2.4)$$




Figure 1. Schematic description of the four possible hierarchy in (3+3) mixing scheme where $|\Delta m^2_{21}| \ll |\Delta m^2_{31}| \ll |\Delta m^2_{41}| < |\Delta m^2_{51}| < |\Delta m^2_{61}|$

with

$$A_{cc} = \sqrt{2} G_F \rho Y_e \quad (2.5)$$

$$A_{nc} = A_{cc}(1 - Y_e)/(2Y_e) \quad (2.6)$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant, Y_e is the electron fraction and ρ is the matter density. For Earth matter $Y_e \simeq 0.5$. So we find $A_{cc} \simeq A_{nc}$ for Earth matter. For anti-neutrinos one has to replace $A \rightarrow -A$ in the evolution equation (2.1). In eq (2.4) and (2.2) we have re-casted the mass-matrix in such a way that the neutral current component $-A_{nc}$, which is negative and which appear for all the three active flavors, is filtered out from the first three diagonal terms and therefore it stays back positive A_{nc} for the sterile states, since they do not participate in weak interaction. The mass squared differences and mixing angles of the neutrinos in matter are modified by matter dependent terms present in the mass matrix, which can be expressed as

$$(\Delta m^2_{ji})^M = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta m^2_{ji}}{2E_\nu} \cos 2\theta_{ij} - A_M\right)^2 + \left(\Delta m^2_{ji} \sin 2\theta_{ij}\right)^2} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\sin 2\theta_{ij}^M = \sin 2\theta_{ij} \frac{\Delta m^2_{ji}}{2E_\nu(\Delta m^2_{ji})^M} \quad (2.8)$$

where it is assumed that only two neutrino states are involved and A_M can be A_{cc} , A_{nc} or $A_{cc} - A_{nc}$. As we know that resonant transition occurs when $\sin^2 \theta_{ij}^M = 1$, then the resonance condition for $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ or $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ must be

$$A_{cc} = \frac{\Delta m^2_{ji}}{2E_\nu} \cos 2\theta_{ij} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\Delta m_{ij}^2 = m_j^2 - m_i^2$ is mass squared difference of the mass eigenstates which were involved and θ_{ij} is mixing angle corresponding to the involved states. The resonant condition for $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_s$ or $\mu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_s$ (ν_s is one of the three sterile states)

$$A_{nc} = -\frac{\Delta m_{ji}^2}{2E_\nu} \cos 2\theta_{ij} \quad (2.10)$$

In the same way, the resonance condition for $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_s$

$$A_{cc} - A_{nc} = \frac{\Delta m_{ji}^2}{2E_\nu} \cos 2\theta_{ij} \quad (2.11)$$

As we have mentioned earlier that potential for antineutrinos are the negative of the neutrino potential, so A_{cc} and A_{nc} are both negative for antineutrinos where they are positive for neutrinos. Now using $A_{cc} \simeq A_{nc}$ for Earth matter in (2.11) we realize that the resonance condition for $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_s$ is satisfied for neutrinos when $\Delta m_{ji}^2 > 0$ and for antineutrinos when $\Delta m_{ji}^2 < 0$. Similarly, $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ or $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ the resonance condition is satisfied for neutrinos when $\Delta m_{ji}^2 > 0$ and for antineutrinos when $\Delta m_{ji}^2 < 0$. On the other hand the resonance condition for $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_s$ or $\mu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_s$ is satisfied for neutrinos when $\Delta m_{ji}^2 < 0$ and for antineutrinos when $\Delta m_{ji}^2 > 0$.

Using the conditions above one find an approximate resonance energy for a certain transition from flavor to flavor. For example, let us consider a ν_μ traveling through earth matter. Considering constant density for simplicity using (2.10), (2.6) and $Y_e = 0.5$ for the $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_s$ resonance energy can be expressed as

$$E_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_{s3}}^{res}(TeV) = \frac{\Delta m_{61}^2 (eV^2) \cos 2\theta_{62}}{0.038 \times (\rho(gm/cc))} \quad (2.12)$$

Now one can easily obtain resonance energy $E_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_{s3}}^{res} \sim 3GeV$ under the assumption of Earth's average matter density $\sim 8 \text{ gm/cc}$ and $\Delta m_{61}^2 \approx \Delta m_{62}^2 \sim 1eV^2$. In the same way resonance condition for transition to ν_{s1} and ν_{s2} can be found by replacing Δm_{62}^2 by Δm_{42}^2 and Δm_{52}^2 respectively. This was just a simple illustration before we present our numerical results and it should be noted that one must consider Δm_{31}^2 and Δm_{21}^2 too to find resonant conditions for transition between active neutrinos (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ)

In this paper we have solved the evolution equation in matter (2.1) to compute $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\alpha)$ and $P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\alpha)$ for neutrinos traveling through earth matter parametrized by PREM density profile. Parametrization of mixing angles is understood from experimental measurements. In order make clear connection between the mixing angles and experimental observation in (3+3) scenario let us focus on oscillation probabilities. The appearance ($\alpha \neq \beta$) oscillation probability in vacuum

$$P_{\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta} = 4 \sum_i |U_{\alpha i}|^2 |U_{\beta i}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{i1}^2 L}{4E} + 8 \sum_{i,j < i} |U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}| \sin \frac{\Delta m_{i1}^2 L}{4E} \sin \frac{\Delta m_{j1}^2 L}{4E} \cos \frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{4E}, \quad i, j = 4, 5, 6, \quad (2.13)$$




Figure 2. $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ oscillation probabilities as a function of the neutrino energy, E_ν in 3+1 and 3+2 models are reproduced from [21]. We have consider inverted mass hierarchy.

$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{14}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{24}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{15}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{25}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{16}$	$\sin^2 \theta_{26}$
0.3	0.5	0.01	0.0012	0.032	0.00003	0.036	0.00019	0.011

Table 1. Values of the mixing parameters for (3+3) model.

Δm_{21}^2	Δm_{31}^2	Δm_{41}^2	Δm_{51}^2	Δm_{61}^2
7.6×10^{-5}	2.32×10^{-3}	0.90	17	22

Table 2. The values of mass squared difference Δm^2 used in this paper shown in units of eV^2 .

We have ignored the CP violating phases in U . Otherwise the cosine of the last term in eq. (2.13) would be $\frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{4E} - \phi_{\alpha\beta}$ where $\phi_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \arg(U_{\alpha j}^* U_{\beta j} U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}^*)$. The disappearance ($\alpha \equiv \beta$) survival probability is given by

$$P_{\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\alpha} = 1 - 4 \left(1 - \sum_i |U_{\alpha i}|^2 \right) \sum_i |U_{\alpha i}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{i1}^2 L}{4E} - 4 \sum_{i,j < i} |U_{\alpha i}|^2 |U_{\alpha j}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{4E}, \quad i, j = 4, 5, 6. \quad (2.14)$$

In the recent combined analysis of short baseline experiments by Conrad et al[18] the best-fit values of mixing matrix elements used in the equations above are found as $|U_{\mu 4}| = 0.12$, $|U_{e 4}| = 0.11$, $|U_{\mu 5}| = 0.17$, $|U_{e 5}| = 0.11$, $|U_{\mu 6}| = 0.14$, $|U_{e 6}| = 0.11$, which can be obtained if we take $\sin^2 \theta_{14} = 0.0012$, $\sin^2 \theta_{24} = 0.032$, $\sin^2 \theta_{15} = 0.00003$, $\sin^2 \theta_{25} = 0.036$, $\sin^2 \theta_{16} = 0.00019$, $\sin^2 \theta_{26} = 0.011$ which we have given in table 1. The values of mixing angles are found in different experiments we discussed in the first section 1 are $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.3$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$, $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.01$. The other mixing angles associated with the sterile states are $\sin^2 \theta_{34}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{35}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{36}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{45}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{46}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{56}$ which are almost unconstrained by the current neutrino experiments. For convenience we represent those unconstrained parameters simultaneously with $\sin^2 \theta_u$. So to illustrate earth matter effect




Figure 3. The survival probability for vertically going upward muon neutrinos and antineutrinos ($\cos \theta_z = -1$) at 2 TeV as a function of L using the PREM profile for the Earth density for normal (NH), inverted (IH) and Perverted (PH) hierarchies. From (a) to (c) we have assumed $|\Delta m_{41}^2| = |\Delta m_{51}^2| = |\Delta m_{61}^2| = 1eV^2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{34} = \sin^2 \theta_{35} = \sin^2 \theta_{36} = \sin^2 \theta_{45} = \sin^2 \theta_{46} = \sin^2 \theta_{56} = 0$. From (d) to (f) we have used $|\Delta m_{41}^2| = 0.9eV^2$, $|\Delta m_{51}^2| = 17eV^2$, $|\Delta m_{61}^2| = 22eV^2$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{34} = \sin^2 \theta_{35} = \sin^2 \theta_{36} = \sin^2 \theta_{45} = \sin^2 \theta_{46} = \sin^2 \theta_{56} = 0.01$. We have used $\cos \theta_z = -1$. All other parameters are fixed using table 1 and table 2.




Figure 4. Neutrino and antineutrino oscillation probability from ν_μ , for normal hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = 0.90eV^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = 17eV^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = 22eV^2$), as a function of energy E for when the neutrinos travel a distance $L = 2R_e$ ($\cos \theta_z = -1$), where R_e is the radius of the earth. For parametrization we have used table 1 and table 2. For matter density we have used PREM profile.

on neutrino oscillation we have shown results for two sets of parameters when needed. Keeping all other constrained mixing parameters fixed we have chosen all unconstrained




Figure 5. Neutrino and antineutrino oscillation probability from ν_μ , for inverted hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = -0.90eV^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = -17eV^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = -22eV^2$), as a function of energy E for when the neutrinos travel a distance $L = 2R_e$ ($\cos\theta_z = -1$), where R_e is the radius of the earth. For parametrization we have used table 1 and table 2. For matter density we have used PREM profile.

parameters $\sin^2\theta_u = 0.01$ for one case and for another case $\sin^2\theta_u = 0.0$. The best-fit values of mass squared differences for (3+3) model are $|\Delta m_{41}^2| = 0.90eV^2$, $|\Delta m_{51}^2| = 17eV^2$, $|\Delta m_{61}^2| = 22eV^2$ [18]. Other values of mass squared differences we have used in this paper are $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.6 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.32 \times 10^{-3}eV^2$ [2, 3]. In figure 1 we have illustrated different possible mass hierarchies for sterile states in (3+3) neutrino mixing scheme. Interesting fact is that the (3+3) fit prefers an “inverted hierarchy” among the three mostly sterile states [18].

3 Numerical Results

To check the consistency of our code at first we have successfully reproduced the earlier results figure 2 produced by [21]¹. Then we apply our code to analyze (3+3) model.

In figure 3 we have shown the survival probability of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ and oscillation probability $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\nu$ as a function of the distance traveled inside earth. For this plot we have solved the six neutrino coupled differential equation (2.1) in matter numerically and used PREM density profile [27] for Earth matter density. For the plots (a) to (b) we have assumed that $|\Delta m_{41}^2| = |\Delta m_{51}^2| = |\Delta m_{61}^2| = 1eV^2$ and for the plots (e) to (f) $|\Delta m_{41}^2| = 0.90eV^2$, $|\Delta m_{51}^2| = 17eV^2$, $|\Delta m_{61}^2| = 22eV^2$ keeping the energy E fixed to 2 TeV. We can see that because of using large mass squared differences $|\Delta m_{51}^2| = 17eV^2$, $|\Delta m_{61}^2| = 22eV^2$ the solutions become more oscillatory in the plots (d) to (f) which can be easily understood by looking at the oscillatory term like $\left(\sin \frac{\Delta m_{j1}^2 L}{4E}\right)$ of an analytic expression of probability like equation 2.13. The reason behind choosing 2 TeV is at this energy we can observe how

¹See figure 4 and figure 8 of [21]




Figure 6. Neutrino and antineutrino oscillation probability from ν_e , for normal hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = 0.90eV^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = 17eV^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = 22eV^2$) and $\sin^2 \theta_u = 0.01$, as a function of energy E for when the neutrinos travel a distance $L = 2R_e$ ($\cos \theta_z = -1$), where R_e is the radius of the earth. For parametrization we have used table 1 and table 2. For matter density we have used PREM profile.

the resonance occurs after the neutrino (in inverted hierarchy) or antineutrino (in normal hierarchy) crosses more than 10000 km. In figure 4 and 5 we can see that, for neutrinos crossed through earth, at distance $2R_e$ (R_e is Earth radius) one can find the resonance energy around 2 TeV which is driven by large sterile neutrino mass squared difference.

Figure 4 shows the neutrino oscillation probabilities assuming normal hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = 0.90eV^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = 17eV^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = 22eV^2$). We have shown probabilities in vacuum (ν_{vac}) and matter for $\sin^2 \theta_u = 0.01$ and $\sin^2 \theta_u = 0.0$ (which mean $\sin^2 \theta_u$ represents all unconstrained mixing angles which means $\sin^2 \theta_{34} = \sin^2 \theta_{35} = \sin^2 \theta_{36} = \sin^2 \theta_{45} = \sin^2 \theta_{46} = \sin^2 \theta_{56} = 0.01$ and 0). We have labeled the figures as $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\beta$ ($\beta = e, \mu, \tau, s1, s2, s3$) to denote the probabilities of both neutrino(ν) and antineutrino($\bar{\nu}$) channels. For normal hierarchy resonance transition in TeV region is observed in antineutrino channel. On the other hand in figure 5 for inverted hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = -0.90eV^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = -17eV^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = -22eV^2$) resonance transition is observed in the neutrino channel. Neutrinos (in inverted hierarchy) and antineutrinos (in normal hierarchy) undergoes maximal oscillation around 2 TeV at high energy. In both of neutrino and antineutrino channel low energy resonance is observed between 10 GeV to 30 GeV which is mostly driven by $\Delta m_{31}^2 \sim 10^{-3}eV^2$ ². For both cases we have kept $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$.

Figure 6 shows the survival and oscillation probability of electron neutrino and antineutrino for normal hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = 0.90eV^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = 17eV^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = 22eV^2$) as a function of energy, E_ν . This time the resonance occurs in neutrino channel for normal

²See section 2 of ref. [28] which shows how resonance enhancement in few GeV region is driven by a mass squared difference $\Delta m^2 \sim 10^{-3}eV^2$ for sterile neutrino mixing. It should be noted that we have difference in notation of mass squared differences and order of states.




Figure 7. Probability oscillation as a function of energy for different values of $\cos \theta_z$ for Normal hierarchy with $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$ and $\sin^2 \theta_u = 0.01$.

hierarchy. As according to current best-fit values (table 1) $\sin^2 \theta_{14} \gg \sin^2 \theta_{15}, \sin^2 \theta_{16}$ (although $\sin^2 \theta_{14}$ itself is small!) its quite expected to observe a sharp resonance pick around 2 TeV in neutrino channel for $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_{s1}$. Because a large sterile neutrino mass squared difference can drive a small mixing angle in vacuum to almost maximal in matter. We did not see any resonance enhancement in few GeV region for $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ like $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ in figure 4 and 5 as the mixing angle $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ is very small.

Figure 7 shows the probability of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ and $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ for both neutrino and antineutrino as a function of energy with for different values of $\cos \theta_z$. Here we have assumed normal hierarchy, $\sin^2 \theta_u = 0.01$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$. Other parameters are fixed by 1 and 2. For matter density we have used PREM density profile.

Figure 8 shows the probability of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu$ for different values of $\cos \theta_z$ in (3+3) and standard three neutrino mixing at low energy. Solid lines represents three neutrino mixing and dotted lines are for (3+3) mixing. In all cases we have used inverted hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = -0.90 eV^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = -17 eV^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = -22 eV^2$) and $\sin^2 \theta_u = 0.01$. From (a) to (b) we have considered $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$ and from (c) to (d) we considered $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$.




Figure 8. Probability oscillation as a function of energy for different values of $\cos \theta_z$ for inverted hierarchy with $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$, $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$. Solid lines represent oscillation probability for standard three neutrino mixing and dotted lines represent oscillation probability for (3+3) mixing scheme. We have considered $\sin^2 \theta_u = 0.01$. Other parameters are fixed by 1 and 2. For matter density we have used PREM density profile

4 Event Rates in DeepCore

To calculate the ν_μ^{cc} event rate in Deep Core at energy 10 - 100 GeV we have applied the method used in reference[28]³. The ν_μ^{cc} event rate can be expressed as:

$$N_{i,j} = 2\pi \int_{\Delta_i \cos \theta_z} \int_{\Delta_j E} dE A_{eff}(E, \theta_z) [\Phi_\mu^0(E, \theta_z) P_{\mu\mu}(E, \theta_z) + \Phi_\mu^0(\eta E, \theta_z) \epsilon P_{\mu\tau}(\eta E, \theta_z)] + (\nu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}) \quad (4.1)$$

where $\epsilon \sim 0.18$, is the branching ratio of the decay of tau leptons into muon which is recorded as nu_μ^{cc} events. η enters in the equation above as ν_τ energy needs to be $\nu \sim 2.5$ times higher than a ν_μ energy to be detected as ν_μ^{cc} event in the same muon energy bin. We use the DeepCore “Filter” effective area A_{eff} from Ref [29]. For neutrino fluxes we use Honda et al 2007 model[19]. We use the zenith angle bins $\Delta_i(\cos \theta_z) = 0.2$ and the energy bins $\Delta_j E = 0.5$.

Figure 9 shows the zenith angle distribution of ν_μ^{cc} events at DeepCore in different energy ranges. The histograms represent the event rates in (3+3) nad standard three neutrino mixing schemes in inverted hierarchy for $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$ using $\sin^2 \theta_u =$

³see section 3




Figure 9. The ν_μ^{cc} event rates in different energy and zenith angle bins at IceCube Deepcore for (3+3) mixing (solid lines) and standard three neutrino mixing (dotted lines). Left: Inverted hierarchy with $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$, Right: Inverted hierarchy with $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$. For both cases we have considered $\sin^2 \theta_u = 0.01$. Other parameters are fixed by 1 and 2.

0.01. The event rates for (3+3) and standard three neutrino mixing schemes are clearly distinguishable which shows the promising sensitivity of deepcore at low energy to observe detect neutrinos. With the increase of $|\cos \theta_z|$ event rates decrease and the decrease is steeper in the low energy range which covers the dip. We can see excess events in for $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$ (left figure 9) in the 10-25 GeV bin. These excess of events is due the enhanced ν_μ survival probability ($\sim 15\text{GeV}$) in figure 8(c).

5 Summary and Conclusion

We have studied the earth matter effect on atmospheric neutrino oscillation in (3+3) model by numerically solving neutrino evolution equation in matter. For matter density we have used PREM density profile. We determined the mass and mixing parameters involving sterile states from the best-fit values found in a recent combined analysis of short baseline neutrino oscillation data [18]. Using (3+3) model we have shown that at near resonant matter effect occurs at both TeV and GeV range mostly driven by by large sterile mass squared differences and Δm_{31}^2 respectively. We have emphasized the fact that while $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_s$ resonance at TeV range occurs for inverted hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = -0.90\text{eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = -17\text{eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = -22\text{eV}^2$) in neutrino channel, the $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_s$ resonance at TeV range occurs for normal hierarchy ($\Delta m_{41}^2 = 0.90\text{eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{51}^2 = 17\text{eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{61}^2 = 22\text{eV}^2$) in neutrino channel. In antineutrino channel resonance occurs just for the opposite hierarchy of the corresponding hierarchy which satisfies resonance condition. We have also illustrated how at presence of very small θ_{15} and θ_{16} resonance in the neutrino (antineutrino) channel for normal (inverted) hierarchy produces a huge dip in $P_{ee}(\bar{P}_{ee})$.

Finally we have calculated the ν_μ^{cc} event rate in DeepCore produced by atmospheric neutrinos. We found that mixing of the three eV scale sterile neutrinos substantially effects the oscillation probabilities in the range 10 - 100 GeV accessible to IceCube DeepCore. Mixing of sterile states produces a shift and modifies shape of the oscillation dip. The effect

is different for the different active neutrino hierarchies (two possible cases: $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 > 0$). Therefore, in essence, the active neutrino mass hierarchy can be identified.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Soebur Razzaque and Arshad Momen for many useful discussions. We would like to thank Carlo Giunti and Jenet Conrad for their suggestions and useful discussions.

References

- [1] Y. Fukuda *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], “Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1562 (1998) [[hep-ex/9807003](#)].
- [2] K. Abe *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], “Solar neutrino results in Super-Kamiokande-III,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 052010 (2011) [[arXiv:1010.0118](#) [[hep-ex](#)]].
- [3] P. Adamson *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], “Measurement of the neutrino mass splitting and flavor mixing by MINOS,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 181801 (2011) [[arXiv:1103.0340](#) [[hep-ex](#)]].
- [4] X. Guo *et al.* [Daya-Bay Collaboration], “A Precision measurement of the neutrino mixing angle θ_{13} using reactor antineutrinos at Daya-Bay,” [[arXiv:hep-ex/0701029](#)].
- [5] F. Ardellier *et al.* [Double Chooz Collaboration], “Double Chooz: A Search for the neutrino mixing angle θ_{13} ,” [[arXiv:hep-ex/0606025](#)].
- [6] J. K. Ahn *et al.* [RENO Collaboration], “RENO: An Experiment for Neutrino Oscillation Parameter θ_{13} Using Reactor Neutrinos at Yonggwang,” [[arXiv:1003.1391](#) [[hep-ex](#)]].
- [7] D. S. Ayres *et al.* [NOvA Collaboration], “NOvA: Proposal to build a 30 kiloton off-axis detector to study $\nu(\mu) \rightarrow \nu(e)$ oscillations in the NuMI beamline,” [[arXiv:hep-ex/0503053](#)].
- [8] Y. Itow *et al.* [T2K Collaboration], “The JHF-Kamioka neutrino project,” [[arXiv:hep-ex/0106019](#)].
- [9] S. K. Agarwalla, E. Akhmedov, M. Blennow, P. Coloma, A. Donini, E. Fernandez-Martinez, C. Giunti and J. J. Gomez-Cadenas *et al.*, “EUROnu-WP6 2010 Report,” [[arXiv:1209.2825](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [10] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and J. Salvado, “Updated global fit to three neutrino mixing: status of the hints of $\theta_{13} > 0$,” *JHEP* **1004**, 056 (2010) [[arXiv:1001.4524](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [11] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Palazzo and A. M. Rotunno, “SNO, KamLAND and neutrino oscillations: θ_{13} ,” [[arXiv:0905.3549](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [12] T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, “Three-flavour neutrino oscillation update,” *New J. Phys.* **10**, 113011 (2008) [[arXiv:0808.2016](#) [[hep-ph](#)]].
- [13] A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.* [LSND Collaboration], “Evidence for neutrino oscillations from the observation of anti-neutrino(electron) appearance in a anti-neutrino(muon) beam,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 112007 (2001) [[arXiv:hep-ex/0104049](#)].
- [14] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.* [MiniBooNE Collaboration], “Event Excess in the MiniBooNE Search for $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ Oscillations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 181801 (2010) [[arXiv:1007.1150](#) [[hep-ex](#)]].

- [15] t. S. electroweak [ALEPH and DELPHI and L3 and OPAL and SLD and LEP Electroweak Working Group and SLD Electroweak Group and SLD Heavy Flavour Group Collaborations], “Precision electroweak measurements on the Z resonance,” Phys. Rept. **427**, 257 (2006) [[arxiv:hep-ex/0509008](#)].
- [16] M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz and J. W. F. Valle, “Status of four neutrino mass schemes: A Global and unified approach to current neutrino oscillation data,” Phys. Rev. D **65**, 093004 (2002) [[arxiv:hep-ph/0112103](#)].
- [17] M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, “Ruling out four neutrino oscillation interpretations of the LSND anomaly?,” Nucl. Phys. B **643**, 321 (2002) [[arxiv:hep-ph/0207157](#)].
- [18] J. M. Conrad, C. M. Ignarra, G. Karagiorgi, M. H. Shaevitz and J. Spitz, “Sterile Neutrino Fits to Short Baseline Neutrino Oscillation Measurements,” [[arXiv:1207.4765 \[hep-ex\]](#)] (accepted for publication by [Adv.High Energy Phys](#)).
- [19] M. Honda, T. Kajita, K. Kasahara, S. Midorikawa and T. Sanuki, “Calculation of atmospheric neutrino flux using the interaction model calibrated with atmospheric muon data,” Phys. Rev. D **75**, 043006 (2007) [[arxiv:astro-ph/0611418](#)].
- [20] E. K. Akhmedov, “Neutrino physics,” [[arxiv:hep-ph/0001264](#)].
- [21] S. Choubey, “Signature of sterile species in atmospheric neutrino data at neutrino telescopes,” JHEP **0712**, 014 (2007) [[arXiv:0709.1937 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [22] H. Nunokawa, O. L. G. Peres and R. Zukanovich Funchal, “Probing the LSND mass scale and four neutrino scenarios with a neutrino telescope,” Phys. Lett. B **562**, 279 (2003) [[arxiv:hep-ph/0302039](#)].
- [23] S. Razzaque and A. Y. Smirnov, “Searching for sterile neutrinos in ice,” JHEP **1107**, 084 (2011) [[arXiv:1104.1390 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [24] L. Wolfenstein, “Neutrino oscillations in matter,” Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2369 (1978).
- [25] S. P. Mikheev and A. Y. Smirnov, “Resonance enhancement of oscillations in matter and solar neutrino spectroscopy,” Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **42**, 913 (1985) [*Yad. Fiz.* **42**, 1441 (1985)];
- [26] V. D. Barger, K. Whisnant, S. Pakvasa and R. J. N. Phillips, “Matter effects on three-neutrino oscillations,” Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2718 (1980).
- [27] A. M. Dziewonski and D. L. Anderson, “Preliminary reference earth model,” Phys. Earth Planet. Interiors **25**, 297 (1981).
- [28] S. Razzaque and A. Y. Smirnov, “Searches for sterile neutrinos with IceCube DeepCore,” Phys. Rev. D **85**, 093010 (2012) [[arXiv:1203.5406 \[hep-ph\]](#)].
- [29] R. Abbasi *et al.* [IceCube Collaboration], “The Design and Performance of IceCube DeepCore,” Astropart. Phys. **35**, 615 (2012) [[arXiv:1109.6096 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#)].